

## Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 STATE 081581

62

ORIGIN SCI-06

INFO OCT-01 EA-11 ISO-00 NSF-04 AEC-11 CEQ-02 EPA-04 SSO-00

NSCE-00 SS-20 NSC-07 INRE-00 TRSY-11 AID-20 CEA-02

CIAE-00 CIEP-02 COME-00 DODE-00 EB-11 FEA-02 FPC-01

H-03 INR-10 INT-08 L-03 NSAE-00 OMB-01 PM-07 RSC-01

SAM-01 SP-03 STR-08 /160 R

DRAFTED BY SCI:JKMANSFIELD  
APPROVED BY SCI:NFSIEVERING  
SCI:NFSIEVERING  
SCI:AERICHMOND,JR.  
EA/J:RLDANKERT

----- 016231

O 222129Z APR 74

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY TOKYO IMMEDIATE

UNCLAS STATE 081581

TAGS: TGEN,JA

E.O. 11652 N/A

REF: TOKYO UNCLASSIFIED A-189

TOKYO UNCLASSIFIED 4049

SUBJ: OVERALL REVIEW OF US/JAPAN COOPERATIVE SCIENTIFIC  
AND TECHNOLOGICAL PROGRAMS.

SUMMARY: DEPT HAS REVIEWED JAPANESE TALKING PAPER  
OF MAR 14, 1974 ON OVERALL REVIEW OF US/JAPAN SCIENTIFIC  
AND TECHNOLOGICAL COOPERATION. COMMENTS ON SPECIFIC POINTS  
MADE IN TALKING PAPER FOLLOW BELOW.

DEPT IS NOW WORKING ON PROPOSED SCOPE, TERMS OF  
REFERENCE OF REVIEW, SUGGESTED MODE OF OPERATION, AND MAKE-  
UP OF US SIDE OF REVIEW PANEL, AND THE LIKE. DEPT LOOKS  
FORWARD TO WORKING CLOSELY ON THESE MATTERS WITH KRATZER  
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 STATE 081581

WHEN HE IS IN WASHINGTON. THE AIM IS TO HAVE A COMPRE-

HENSIVE PROPOSAL READY FOR SUBMISSION TO THE GOJ WHEN KRATZE RETURNS TO TOKYO.

1. THE DEPT HAS BELIEVED FROM THE OUTSET THAT ONE OF THE CHIEF GOALS OF THE REVIEW SHOULD BE THE IDENTIFICATION OF PROMISING NEW AREAS FOR COOPERATION BETWEEN THE TWO GOVERNMENTS. WE AGREE WITH THE GOJ THAT DATA PROCESSING COULD REPRESENT ONE SUCH AREA.

2. THE DEPT HAS ALSO BELIEVED FROM THE OUTSET THAT THE REVIEW SHOULD BE OF COMPREHENSIVE AND ACROSS-THE-BOARD AND SHOULD INCLUDE ALL FIELDS OF COOPERATION. PROGRAMS IN THE AREA OF NUCLEAR ENERGY HAVE CONSTITUTED ONE OF THE MOST SIGNIFICANT AND SUCCESSFUL FORMS OF COOPERATION BETWEEN OUR TWO COUNTRIES AND WE THEREFORE THINK IT ESSENTIAL THAT NUCLEAR ENERGY NOT BE EXCLUDED FROM THE OVERALL REVIEW. THIS IS PARTICULARLY SO IN THE LIGHT OF THE STEADILY INCREASING IMPORTANCE ATTACHING TO ALL FORMS OF ENERGY RESEARCH. AT THE SAME TIME WE THINK THAT THE REVIEW SHOULD BE TAILORED TO FIT THE EXACT CIRCUMSTANCES ASSOCIATED WITH EACH FIELD OF COOPERATION. WE AGREE WITH THE EMBASSY THAT THE HISTORY AND NATURE OF THE COOPERATIVE NUCLEAR ENERGY PROGRAM HAS BEEN SUCH THAT IT MAY NOT REQUIRE THE SAME DEGREE OF DETAILED EXAMINATION AS WOULD BE DESIRABLE FOR OTHER COOPERATIVE FIELDS.

3. WHILE THE DEPT SIMILARLY THINKS IT ESSENTIAL TO INCLUDE COOPERATION IN ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT IN THE OVERALL REVIEW WE ARE AWARE THAT ACTIVITIES IN THIS AREA ARE JUST GETTING STARTED. WE THEREFORE AGREE WITH THE EMBASSY THAT THE ENERGY COOPERATION PORTION OF THE REVIEW MIGHT MOST USEFULLY FOCUS ON THE QUESTION OF HOW THE COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS EITHER UNDERWAY OR IN PROSPECT IN THIS AREA CAN BEST BE MESHED AND DOVETAILED INTO THE PATTERN OF OVERALL SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL COOPERATION BETWEEN OUR TWO COUNTRIES.

4. WE ARE PLEASED THAT THE JAPANESE TALKING PAPER ACKNOWLEDGES THAT A TWO-TIERED REVIEW PANEL HAS A NUMBER OF MERITS FROM THE STANDPOINT OF PRODUCING AN OBJECTIVE UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 STATE 081581

FINAL REPORT. WE THINK IT IMPORTANT THAT THE CONCEPT OF A REVIEW PANEL CONSISTING OF AN EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AND A LARGER FULL PANEL BE PRESERVED. WE ALSO THINK IT IMPORTANT THAT TO THE EXTENT FEASIBLE THE COMPOSITION OF THE REVIEW PANEL BE SUCH THAT ADEQUATE WEIGHT CAN BE GIVEN THE VIEWS OF DISPASSIONATE AUTHORITIES WHO THEMSELVES HAVE NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE PROGRAMS BEING EXAMINED. AT THE SAME TIME WE REALIZE THAT THE GOJ MAY HAVE GENUINE AND

MAJOR ORGANIZATIONAL PROBLEMS IN ASSIGNING "OUTSIDERS" ON THE REVIEW PANEL AS LARGE A ROLE AS WOULD BE PREFERABLE FROM OUR STANDPOINT. WE ARE THEREFORE PREPARED TO BE FLEXIBLE ON THE COMPOSITION OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AND THE RELATIVE DISTRIBUTION OF RESPONSIBILITY BETWEEN THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AND THE FULL PANEL.

5. THE DEPT'S SUGGESTION THAT THE REVIEW EXTEND OVER A SIX MONTH PERIOD REPRESENTED OUR BEST JUDGMENT CONCERNING THE AMOUNT OF TIME THAT WOULD BE NEEDED FOR A REVIEW WHICH WAS AT ONCE EXPEDITIOUS AND COMPREHENSIVE. WE ARE NOT WEDDED TO THIS EXACT TIMETABLE, HOWEVER, AND WE WOULD BE PREPARED TO EXTEND IT FOR A REASONABLE PERIOD IF THE GOJ THINKS THIS DESIRABLE. KISSINGER

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** SCIENTIFIC COOPERATION, TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, NUCLEAR ENERGY, MEETING REPORTS, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION, INTERGOVERNMENTAL COOPERATION  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 22 APR 1974  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** n/a  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** n/a  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:**  
**Disposition Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1974STATE081581  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** JKMANFIELD  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D740094-0211  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1974/newtext/t19740424/aaaaauvt.tel  
**Line Count:** 129  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ORIGIN SCI  
**Original Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** TOKYO UNCLASSIFIED A-189  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** shawdg  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 04 SEP 2002  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <04 SEP 2002 by BryanSD>; APPROVED <27 DEC 2002 by shawdg>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** n/a  
**TAGS:** TGEN, JA  
**To:** TOKYO  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005